Applicant(s) Application No. 09/938,592 SHOJI ET AL. Interview Summary Art Unit Examiner 2682 Raymond B. Persino All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Raymond B. Persino. (4)_____. (2) Robert C. Mattson (Reg. No. 42850). Date of Interview: 04 November 2003. Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e)⊠ No. If Yes, brief description: ____. Claim(s) discussed: 1-10. Identification of prior art discussed: all prior art that is cited in the outstanding rejections. Agreement with respect to the claims f) \square was reached. g) \square was not reached. h) \square N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative explained the invention to the examiner. Specifically the applicant's representative discussed the claims and prior art cited in the rejections. Additionally, newly proposed claims were discussed .. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed. APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

703-308-7528